CS 4644-DL / 7643-A DANFEI XU

Topics:

- Backpropagation
- Computation Graph and Automatic Differentiation

Administrative

- PS1 / HW1 is out. Due by Sep $19th 11:59pm$ (+48hr grace period)
- Use Piazza for Q&A
- PS1 / HW1 tutorial 1pm Sep $6st$ (Friday) 3:15 PM (hosted by David He and Tony Tu)
- The tutorial will be recorded.
- **Start early!**
- Project proposal prompt posting soon. Due Sep 24th (no grace period)

Recap: Multiclass SVM loss

Given an example (x_i, y_i) where x_i is the image and where y_i is the (integer) label,

and using the shorthand for the scores vector: $s = f(x_i, W)$

the SVM loss has the form:

$$
L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \frac{\left\{ \frac{0}{s_j - s_{y_i} + 1} \text{ if } s_{y_i} \ge s_j + 1 \right\}}{\left\{ \frac{s_j - s_{y_i} + 1} \text{ otherwise}}{\right\}} = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)
$$

Recap: Regularization

Q: How do we pick between W and 2W? A: Opt for simpler functions to avoid overfit

How? Regularization!

$$
L(W) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)}_{\text{(hyperparameter)}} + \underbrace{\lambda R(W)}_{\text{(hyperparameter)}}
$$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Regularization: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Recap: Softmax Classifier and Cross Entropy Loss Recap: Softmax Classifier and Cross Entropy Loss Recap: Softmax Classifier and Cross Entropy Loss

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities** Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities** Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

How do we optimize the classifier? We maximize the probability of $p_{\theta}(y_i|x_i)$

1. Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE): 1. Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE):

Choose weights to maximize the likelihood of Choose weights to maximize the likelihood of observed data. In this case, the loss function is the observed data. In this case, the loss function is the **Negative Log-Likelihood (NLL)**. **Negative Log-Likelihood (NLL)**.

Finding a set of weights θ that maximizes the probability of correct prediction: argmax probability of correct prediction: $\underset{\theta}{\text{argmax}} \prod p_{\theta}(y_i|x_i)$ This is equivalent to: This is equivalent to: $\operatorname*{rgmax}_{\theta} \prod p_{\theta}(y_i)$

$$
\underset{L_i}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum \ln p_{\theta}(y_i | x_i)
$$

$$
L_i = -\ln p_{\theta}(y_i | x_i) = -\ln \left(\frac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}\right)
$$

2. Information theory view: 2. Information theory view:

Derive NLL from the cross entropy measurement. Derive NLL from the cross entropy measurement. Also known as the cross-entropy loss Also known as the cross-entropy loss

Cross Entropy: $H(p,q) = -\sum_{\alpha} p(x) \ln q(x)$ *Cross Entropy Loss -> NLL Cross Entropy Loss -> NLL*

$$
H_i(p, p_\theta) = -\sum_{y \in Y} p(y|x_i) \ln p_\theta(y|x_i)
$$

= $-\ln p_\theta(y_i|x_i)$

$$
L = \sum H_i(p, p_\theta) = -\sum \ln p_\theta(y_i|x_i) \equiv NLL
$$

Q: Why softmax? Q: Why softmax?

Use logistic function as example. Same as softmax Use logistic function as example. Same as softmax but for binary classification but for binary classification

 $\sigma(x) =$ e^{χ} $1+e^{x}$

Consider the following three basis for NLL: Consider the following three basis for NLL:

- 1. Squash and clip network value (x) to $(0, 1]$
- 2. (Negative) logistic function
- 3. NLL with logistic function

2. NLL w/ logistic: Strong guidance when classifier is wrong

Gradient -based Optimization

As weights change, the gradients change as well

● This is often somewhat-smooth locally, so small changes in weights produce small changes in the loss

We can therefore think about **iterative algorithms** that take **current values of weights and modify them** a bit

We can find the steepest descent direction by computing the **derivative:**

> ∂f $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} = \lim_{h \to 0}$ $f(w + h) - f(w)$ \boldsymbol{h}

- **Gradient** is multi-dimensional derivatives
- Notation: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$ is the gradient of f (e.g., a loss function) with respect to variable w (e.g., a weight vector).
- $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$ is of the **same shape** as w
- **Intuitively:** Measures how the function changes as the variable w changes by a small step size
- ⬣ Steepest descent direction is the **negative gradient**
- Gradient descent: Minimize loss by changing parameters

We can find the steepest descent direction by computing the **derivative:**

> ∂f $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w} = \lim_{h \to 0}$ $f(w + h) - f(w)$ \boldsymbol{h}

- **Gradient** is multi-dimensional derivatives
- Notation: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$ is the gradient of f (e.g., a loss function) with respect to variable w (e.g., a weight vector).
- $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}$ is of the **same shape** as w
- **Intuitively:** Measures how the function changes as the variable w changes by a small step size
- ⬣ Steepest descent direction is the **negative gradient**
- Gradient descent: Minimize loss by changing parameters

gradient dW:

[?, ?,

?,

?,

?,

?,

?,

?,

?,…]

ient dW:

gradient dW:

[-2.5, 0.6, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?,…]

Several ways to compute $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w}$ ∂w_i

- **Manual differentiation**
- Symbolic differentiation
- **Numerical differentiation**
- ⬣ **Automatic differentiation**

More on **autodiff**:

https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~rgrosse/courses/csc421_201 9/readings/L06%20Automatic%20Differentiation.pdf

Numerical vs Analytic Gradients

$$
\frac{df(x)}{dx}=\lim_{h\rightarrow 0}\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}
$$

Numerical gradient: slow, approximate, easy to implement **Analytic gradient**: fast, exact, error-prone (if implemented from scratch)

Almost all differentiable functions that you can think of have analytical gradients implemented in popular libraries, e.g., PyTorch, TensorFlow.

If you want to derive your own gradients, check your implementation with numerical gradient. This is called a **gradient check.**

The gradient descent algorithm

- 1. Choose a model: $f(x, W) = Wx$
- **•** 2. Choose loss function: $L_i = |y Wx_i|^2$
- 3. Calculate partial derivative for each parameter: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}$ ∂w_i
- 4. Update the parameters: $w_i = w_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i}$
- 5. Add learning rate to prevent too big of a step: $w_i = w_i \alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i}$ ∂w_i
- ⬣ **Repeat 3-5**

How to compute gradients for deep neural networks?

Decomposing a Function *Adapted from slides by: Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun*

Chain rule Chain rule

Backpropagation (roughly): Backpropagation (roughly):

- 1. Calculate local gradients for each node (e.g., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$ 1. Calculate local gradients for each node (e.g., $\frac{\partial u}{\partial w}$)
- 2. Trace the computation graph (backward) to calculate the global 2. Trace the computation graph (backward) to calculate the global gradients for each node w.r.t. to the loss function. gradients for each node w.r.t. to the loss function.

Functions can be made **arbitrarily complex** (subject to memory and computational limits), e.g.:

 $f(x, W) = \sigma(W_5 \sigma(W_4 \sigma(W_3 \sigma(W_2 \sigma(W_1 x)))$

We can use **any type of differentiable function (layer)** we want!

Computational Graph

To develop a general algorithm for this, we will view the function as a **computation graph**

Graph can be any **directed acyclic graph (DAG)**

⬣ Modules must be differentiable to support gradient computations for gradient descent

The **backpropagation algorithm** will then process this graph, **one module at a time**

Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

$$
f(x,y,z)=\overline{(x+y)z}
$$

$$
f(x,y,z)=\mathbb{(}x+y\mathbb{)z}
$$

$$
f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z
$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$
f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z
$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

Want:
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}
$$
, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$

$$
f(x,y,z) = (x + y)z
$$
\n
$$
e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
$$
\n
$$
q = x + y \quad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1
$$
\n
$$
1. Calculate local gradients
$$

Want:
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}
$$

$$
f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z
$$
\ne.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
\n
$$
q = x + y
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1
$$
\n
$$
f = qz
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q
$$
\n1. Calculate local gradients

\n1. Calculate local gradients

\n1. Calculate local gradients

25

29

e(

82

How does a local gradient modify the upstream gradient? $f = 2(xy + max(z, w))$

How does a local gradient modify the upstream gradient? $f = 2(xy + max(z, w))$

Q: What is an **add** gate?

Patterns in backward flow Patterns in backward flow

How does a local gradient modify the upstream gradient? $f = 2(xy + max(z, w))$

add gate: gradient replicator

$$
f = a + b
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = 1
$$

How does a local gradient modify the upstream gradient? $f = 2(xy + max(z, w))$

add gate: gradient replicator Q: What is a **max** gate?

How does a local gradient modify the upstream gradient? $f = 2(xy + max(z, w))$

add gate: gradient replicator **max** gate: gradient router

only the path selected by the max operator gets the upstream gradient

How does a local gradient modify the upstream gradient? $f = 2(xy + max(z, w))$

add gate: gradient replicator **max** gate: gradient router Q: What is a **mul** gate?

Packward flow Patterns in backward flow

How does a local gradient modify the upstream gradient? $f = 2(xy + max(z, w))$

add gate: gradient replicator **max** gate: gradient router **mul** gate: gradient switcher **add** gate: gradient reproduct **max** gate: gradient router **mul** gate: gradient switcher

$$
f = a \cdot b
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = b
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = a
$$

Upstream gradients add at fork branches

… as long as the branches join at some point in the graph

Upstream gradients add at fork branches Upstream gradients add at fork branches

Upstream gradients add at fork branches Upstream gradients add at fork branches

Duality in F(orward)prop and B(ack)prop

(C) Dhruv Batra

Given this computation graph, the training algorithm will:

- **Calculate the current model's outputs** (called the **forward pass**)
- **•** Calculate the gradients for each module (called the **backward pass**)

Adapted from figure by Marc'Aurelio Ranzato, Yann LeCun

● Assume that we have the gradient of the module **of the module of the pass**
(aiven to us by unstream module) loss with respect to the **module's outputs** (given to us by upstream module)

- Assume that we have the gradient of the loss with respect to the **module's outputs** (given to us by upstream module) module **of the module of the pass**
(aiven to us by unstream module)
- \bullet We can calculate the gradient of the loss with respect to the module's weights

- Assume that we have the gradient of the loss with respect to the **module's outputs** (given to us by upstream module) module **of the module of the pass**
(aiven to us by unstream module)
- \bullet We can calculate the gradient of the loss with respect to the module's weights
- \bullet We will also pass the gradient of the loss with respect to the **module's inputs** $\frac{1}{2}$ Progressive to the module simples
- This is not required for update the module's weights, but passes the gradients back to the previous module

- Assume that we have the gradient of the loss with respect to the **module's outputs** (given to us by upstream module) module **of the module of the pass**
(aiven to us by unstream module)
- \bullet We can calculate the gradient of the loss with respect to the module's weights
- \bullet We will also pass the gradient of the loss with respect to the **module's inputs** $\frac{1}{2}$ Progressive to the module simples
- This is not required for update the module's weights, but passes the gradients back to the previous module
- **EXECOMER SHE UPSTREAM Gradient** for the previous module

- Assume that we have the gradient of the loss with respect to the **module's outputs** (given to us by upstream module) module **of the module of the pass**
(aiven to us by unstream module)
- \bullet We can calculate the gradient of the loss with respect to the module's weights
- \bullet We will also pass the gradient of the loss with respect to the **module's inputs** $\frac{1}{2}$ Progressive to the module simples
- This is not required for update the module's weights, but passes the gradients back to the previous module
- **EXECOMER SHE UPSTREAM Gradient** for the previous module
- **Gradient descent:** update weight with gradient with respect to loss

$$
W = W - \alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial W}
$$

Backpropagation does not really spell out how to **efficiently** carry out the necessary computations

But the idea can be applied to **any directed acyclic graph (DAG)**

⬣ Graph represents an **ordering constraining** which paths must be calculated first

Given an ordering, we can then iterate from the last module backwards, **applying the chain rule**

- ⬣ We will store, for each node, its **gradient outputs for efficient computation**
- We will do this **automatically** by tracing the entire graph, aggregate and assign gradients at each function / parameters, from output to input.

This is called reverse-mode **automatic differentiation**

Computation = Graph

- ⬣ Input = Data + Parameters
- ⬣ Output = Loss
- Scheduling = Topological ordering

Auto-Diff

⬣ Afamily of algorithms for implementing chain-rule on computation graphs

Deep Learning Framework = Differentiable Programming Engine

- Computation = Graph
	- Input = Data + Parameters
	- $-$ Output = Loss
	- Scheduling = Topological ordering
- What do we need to do?
	- Generic code for representing the graph of modules
	- Specify modules (both forward and backward function)

Modularized implementation: forward / backward API

Graph (or Net) object *(rough psuedo code)*

Modularized implementation: forward / backward API

Modularized implementation: forward / backward API

^A graph is created on the fly Writing code == building graph

from torch.autograd import Variable

x = Variable(torch.randn(1, 20)) prev_h = Variable(torch.randn(1, 20)) W_h = Variable(torch.randn(20, 20)) W_x = Variable(torch.randn(20, 20))

```
i2h = torch.mm(W_x, x.t())
h2h = torch.mm(W_h, prev_h.t()) 
next_h = i2h + h2h
next_h = next_h.tanh()
```

```
next_h.backward(torch.ones(1, 20))
```


From pytorch.org

Computation Graphs in PyTorch

Neural Turing Machine

- ⬣ Computation graphs are **not limited to mathematical functions!**
- ⬣ Can have **control flows** (if statements, loops) and **backpropagate** through **algorithms**!
- ⬣ Can be done **dynamically** so that **gradients are computed**, then **nodes are added, repeat**

Adapted from figure by Andrej Karpathy

Power of Automatic Differentiation

Autodiff from scratch: micrograd repo, video tutorial

Next time:

- More on backprop but for (shallow) neural nets!
- Jacobians
- Activation functions

