CS 4644-DL / 7643-A: Lecture 18 Danfei xu

Generative Models:

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPMs)



Fully visible belief network (FVBN)

Explicit density model

Use probability chain rule to decompose likelihood of an image x into product of 1-d distributions:

$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i | x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$$

Probability of i'th pixel value

given all previous pixels



Then maximize likelihood of training data

image x

Recurrent Neural Network



$$p(x_i|x_1,...,x_{i-1})$$

PixeIRNN [van der Oord et al. 2016]

Generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels modeled using an RNN (LSTM)

Drawback: sequential generation is slow in both training and inference!



PixelCNN [van der Oord et al. 2016]

Still generate image pixels starting from corner

Dependency on previous pixels now modeled using a CNN over context region (masked convolution)



Figure copyright van der Oord et al., 2016. Reproduced with permission.



So far...

PixelR/CNNs define tractable density function, optimize likelihood of training data: $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{\theta}(x_i | x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$

Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) define intractable density function with latent **z**: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z)p_{\theta}(x|z)dz$

No dependencies among pixels, can generate all pixels at the same time! Latent variable z that captures important *factors of variations* in dataset

Cannot optimize (maximum likelihood estimation) directly, derive and optimize lower bound on likelihood instead

Some background first: Autoencoders

Train such that features can be used to reconstruct original data



Reconstructed data



Doesn't use labels!

Encoder: 4-layer conv Decoder: 4-layer upconv





We want to estimate the true parameters θ^* of this generative model given training data x.



How should we represent this model?

Assume p(z) is *known* and *simple*, e.g. isotropic Gaussian. Reasonable for latent attributes, e.g. pose, how much smile.

Conditional p(x|z) is *complex* (generates image) => represent with neural network

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Variational Autoencoders: Intractability

Data likelihood: $p_{\theta}(x) = \int p_{\theta}(z) p_{\theta}(x|z) dz$

Can we do Monte Carlo sampling?

$$\log p(x) pprox \log rac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k p(x|z^{(i)})$$
 , where $z^{(i)} \sim p(z)$

Can we estimate posterior density? Not quite, but ...

$$p_{\theta}(z|x) = p_{\theta}(x|z)p_{\theta}(z)/p_{\theta}(x)$$

VAE: We can use an approximate posterior $q_{\theta}(z|x)$ (variational distribution) to form a *tractable lower bound* of the data likelihood p(x).

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound



Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z}\left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))}_{\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)}$$

Reparameterization trick to make sampling differentiable:

Sample
$$\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I)$$
 $z = \mu_{z|x} + \epsilon \sigma_{z|x}$



Putting it all together: maximizing the likelihood lower bound

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{E}_{z}\left[\log p_{\theta}(x^{(i)} \mid z)\right] - D_{KL}(q_{\phi}(z \mid x^{(i)}) \mid\mid p_{\theta}(z))}_{\mathcal{L}(x^{(i)}, \theta, \phi)}$$

Reparameterization trick to make sampling differentiable:



Our assumption about data generation process

Now given a trained VAE: use decoder network & sample z from prior!



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Use decoder network. Now sample z from prior!



Sample z from $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

000 00



Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Use decoder network. Now sample z from prior!

Data manifold for 2-d z





Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014

Degree of smile

Diagonal prior on **z** => independent latent variables

Different dimensions of **z** encode interpretable factors of variation

Also good feature representation that can be computed using $q_{\phi}(z|x)!$

Kingma and Welling, "Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes", ICLR 2014







Labeled Faces in the Wild

32x32 CIFAR-10

Figures copyright (L) Dirk Kingma et al. 2016; (R) Anders Larsen et al. 2017. Reproduced with permission.

Probabilistic spin to traditional autoencoders => allows generating data Defines an intractable density => derive and optimize a (variational) lower bound

Pros:

- Principled approach to generative models
- Latent space z is interpretable and may be useful for other downstream tasks.

Cons:

- Samples are blurry
- KL weights are hard to tune
- Latent distributions are aggressive representation bottlenecks that may limit the expressiveness of the model.

Can be made more powerful by making VAE hierarchical (multiple layers of latents). **Diffusion model (denoising diffusion) can be thought of a type of hierarchical VAE!**



- Ffjord

Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPMs)

And Conditional Diffusion Models

TEXT DESCRIPTION

An astronaut Teddy bears A bowl of soup

riding a horse lounging in a tropical resort in space playing basketball with cats in space

in a photorealistic style in the style of Andy Warhol as a pencil drawing DALL·E 2





https://openai.com/dall-e-2/

TEXT DESCRIPTION

An astronaut Teddy bears A bowl of soup

mixing sparkling chemicals as mad scientists shopping for groceries working on new AI research

as kids' crayon art on the moon in the 1980s underwater with 1990s technology

 \rightarrow





https://openai.com/dall-e-2/



https://openai.com/dall-e-2/

rity 🗠 Insights

ያ main - ያ 1 branch 🛇 0 tags		Go to file Add file	e 🕶 🛛 Code 🗸
Desser Release under CreativeML Ope	n RAIL M License	69ae4b3 on Aug 22	3 29 commits
assets	Release under CreativeML Open RAIL M License		2 months ago
configs	stable diffusion		3 months ago
📄 data	stable diffusion 3		3 months ago
🖿 ldm	stable diffusion 3 months		3 months ago
models	add configs for training unconditional/class-conditional ldms 11 months ago		11 months ago
scripts	Release under CreativeML Open RAIL M License		2 months ago
	Release under CreativeML Open RAIL M License		2 months ago
C README.md	Release under CreativeML Open RAIL M License 2 months a		2 months ago
Stable_Diffusion_v1_Model_Card.md	Release under CreativeML Open RAIL M License		2 months ago
environment.yaml	Release under CreativeML Open RAIL M License 2 mon		2 months ago
🗅 main.py	add configs for training unconditional/class-conditional ldms 11 months ago		11 months ago
notebook_helpers.py	add code 11 months		11 months ago
🗅 setup.py	add code		11 months ago

∃ README.md

Stable Diffusion

Stable Diffusion was made possible thanks to a collaboration with Stability AI and Runway and builds upon our previous work:

High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models Robin Rombach^{*}, Andreas Blattmann^{*}, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, Björn Ommer *CVPR '22 Oral | GitHub | arXiv | Project page*

https://github.com/CompVis/stable-diffusion

A latent text-to-image diffusion model		
C	ommer-lab.com/research/latent-diffus	
Φ	Readme	
শ্রু	View license	
☆	33k stars	
\odot	321 watching	
ဗု	5k forks	
No	releases published	
Pa	ckages	
No	packages published	
Co	ntributors 🤊	
Lar	nguages	

Jupyter Notebook 90.1%

• Python 9.8% • Shell 0.1%

Landscape Highlights of Diffusion Models (Nov 2022)

- Diffusion probabilistic models (<u>Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015</u>)
- Noise-conditioned score network (NCSN; Yang & Ermon, 2019)
- Denoising diffusion probabilistic models (DDPM; <u>Ho et al. 2020</u>)
 - Classifier-guided conditional generation (Dhariwal and Nichole, 2021)
 - Classifier-free Diffusion Guidance (Ho and Salimans, 2022)
 - Latent-space Diffusion (StableDiffusion; <u>Rombach and Blattmann et al., 2022</u>)
 - Planning with Diffusion for Flexible Behavior Synthesis (Diffuser; Janner et al., 2022)
- new applications
- DreamFusion: Text-to-3D using 2D Diffusion (Poole and Jain et al., 2022)
 - Make-A-Video: Text-to-Video Generation without Text-Video Data (Singer et al., 2022)

basic principles

conditional & high-res image generation

Landscape Highlights of Diffusion Models (Nov 2022)

- basic principles
- conditional & high-res image generation
- new applications

- Diffusion probabilistic models (<u>Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015</u>)
- Noise-conditioned score network (NCSN; Yang & Ermon, 2019)
 - Denoising diffusion probabilistic models (DDPM; <u>Ho et al. 2020</u>)
 - Classifier-guided conditional generation (Dhariwal and Nichole, 2021)
 - Classifier-free Diffusion Guidance (Ho and Salimans, 2022)
 - Latent-space Diffusion (StableDiffusion; Rombach and Blattmann et al., 2022)
 - Planning with Diffusion for Flexible Behavior Synthesis (Diffuser; Janner et al., 2022)
 - DreamFusion: Text-to-3D using 2D Diffusion (Poole and Jain et al., 2022)
 - Make-A-Video: Text-to-Video Generation without Text-Video Data (Singer et al., 2022)

Denoising Diffusion: Image to Noise and Back



image from dataset





image from dataset The "forward diffusion" process: add Gaussian noise each step



. . .

image from dataset

The "forward diffusion" process: add Gaussian noise each step

noise $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$



• • •



image from dataset

 x_0

The "forward diffusion" process: add Gaussian noise each step

noise $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$



 $-x_{T-1} \leftarrow x_T$

The "denoising diffusion" process: generate an image from noise by *denoising* the gaussian noises

Connection to VAEs








The **known** forward process

$$x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$$

The **known** forward process
$$x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$$

 $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)

The **known** forward process $x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$ $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)

 $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

The **known** forward process
$$x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$$

 $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)
 $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

Notation: A Gaussian distribution "for" x_t

Plain English: the distribution for x_t is a Gaussian with mean of $(1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}$, where x_{t-1} is a sample from the previous step, and variance of $\beta_t I$

The **known** forward process $x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$ $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)

 $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

 β_t is the variance schedule at the diffusion step t

The **known** forward process $x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$ $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)

 $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

 β_t is the variance schedule at the diffusion step t

 $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_T < 1$, typical value range [0.0001, 0.02], with T = 1000

The **known** forward process $x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$ $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)

 $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

 β_t is the variance schedule at the diffusion step t

 $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_T < 1$, typical value range [0.0001, 0.02], with T = 1000



The **known** forward process $x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$ $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)

 $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

Nice property: samples from an *arbitrary forward step* are also Gaussian-distributed! $q(x_t|x_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}x_0, (1 - \overline{\alpha}_t)I)$

, where
$$a_t = (1 - \beta_t)$$
, $\overline{\alpha}_t = \prod_{s=1}^t \alpha_s$ x_0 x_1 x_t x_{t-1} x_T ...

The **known** forward process $x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$ $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain) $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

Nice property: samples from an *arbitrary forward step* are also Gaussian-distributed! $q(x_t|x_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}x_0, (1 - \overline{\alpha}_t)I)$

Gaussian reparameterization trick (recall from VAEs!):

$$x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, \qquad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

The **known** forward process $x_0 \longrightarrow x_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_T$ $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain) $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; (1 - \beta_t)x_{t-1}, \beta_t I)$ Conditional Gaussian

Nice property: samples from an *arbitrary forward step* are also Gaussian-distributed! $q(x_t|x_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_t; \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t}x_0, (1 - \overline{\alpha}_t)I)$

Gaussian reparameterization trick (recall from VAEs!):

$$x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, \qquad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

Intuition: We can directly computed noised sample at arbitrary step *t* without going through the Markov chain

The Diffusion and Denoising Process



The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$ $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ Probability Chain Rule (now reversed)

 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_{\theta}(x_t, t))$ Conditional Gaussian

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$ Conditional Gaussian
Want to learn time-
dependent mean (simplification)

(simplification)

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$ Conditional Gaussian
Want to learn time-
dependent mean (simplification)

How do we form a learning objective?

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$ $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$

High-level intuition: derive a ground truth denoising distribution $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ and train a neural net $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ to match the distribution.

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$ $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$

High-level intuition: derive a ground truth denoising distribution $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ and train a neural net $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ to match the distribution.

The learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t))$

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$ $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$

High-level intuition: derive a ground truth denoising distribution $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ and train a neural net $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ to match the distribution.

The learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t))$

What does it look like? $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_{t-1}; \mu_q(t), \Sigma_q(t)\right)$

$$\mu_q(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)}} \epsilon \right), \qquad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$ $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$

High-level intuition: derive a ground truth denoising distribution $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ and train a neural net $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ to match the distribution.

The learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t))$

What does it look like? $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_q(t), \Sigma_q(t))$

$$\mu_{q}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}} \left(x_{t} - \frac{\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t})}} \epsilon \right), \qquad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I) \longleftarrow \text{Recall: Gaussian}$$
reparameterization trick

The "ground truth" noise that brought x_{t-1} to x_t . We know this during training because we took this sample during the forward process!

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$ $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$

High-level intuition: derive a ground truth denoising distribution $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ and train a neural net $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ to match the distribution.

The learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t))$

What does it look like? $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_{t-1}; \mu_q(t), \Sigma_q(t)\right)$

Assuming identical variance $\Sigma_q(t)$, we have:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)) | p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} w || \mu_q(t) - \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$$

Should be variance-dependent, but constant works better in practice

The **learned** denoising process $x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$ $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$

High-level intuition: derive a ground truth denoising distribution $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)$ and train a neural net $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ to match the distribution.

The learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t))$ What does it look like? $q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_q(t), \Sigma_q(t))$

Assuming identical variance $\Sigma_q(t)$, we have:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)) | p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} w | |\mu_q(t) - \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t)| |$$

Intuition: learn to estimate the added noise and remove it!

Should be variance-dependent, but constant works better in practice

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

We know how to learn Assume fixed / known variance



Generate new images!

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$ Probability Chain Rule (Markov Chain)
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma_q(t))$ Conditional Gaussian
We know how to learn Assume fixed / known variance

How did we arrive at the learning objective? Why is this mathematically correct?

Let's go back to the basics of variational models ...



 $p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz$ Intractable to estimate!

Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics, Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015

 $p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz \quad \text{Intractable to estimate!}$ $\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) | p(z|x) \right]$ $\geq \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \quad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$ Known forward noise (posterior)

 $p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz$ Intractable to estimate!

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x_0) \ge E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0 | x_{1:T}) p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T} | x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$

 $p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz \quad \text{Intractable to estimate!}$ $\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$ $\geq \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \quad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$ $\log p(x_0) \geq \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0|x_{1:T})p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)} \right] \quad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$ $= \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})} \right] \quad \longleftarrow \text{ forward diffusion}$

 $p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz$ Intractable to estimate!

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x_0) \geq \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0|x_{1:T})p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics, Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015

 $p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz$ Intractable to estimate!

$$\log p(x) = \mathbf{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbf{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x_0) \geq \mathbf{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0|x_{1:T})p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x_{T}) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})}{\prod_{t=1}^{T} q(x_{t}|x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$= -E_q[D_{KL}(q(x_T|x_0)||p(x_T))] - \sum_{t=2}^T D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)) + \log p_{\theta}(x_0|x_1)]$$

Deep Unsupervised Learning using Nonequilibrium Thermodynamics, Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015
$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x_0) \ge E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0 | x_{1:T}) p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T} | x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$
$$= E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1} | x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t | x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x_0) \ge E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0 | x_{1:T}) p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T} | x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$
$$= E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1} | x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t | x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$= -E_q[D_{KL}(q(x_T|x_0)||p(x_T))] - \sum_{t=2}^T D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)) + \log p_{\theta}(x_0|x_1)]$$

Maximize the agreement between the predicted reverse diffusion distribution p_{θ} and the "ground truth" reverse diffusion distribution q

$$\log p(x) = \mathbf{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbf{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x_0) \geq \mathbf{E}_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0|x_{1:T})p(x_{1:T})}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$

$$= E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t|x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$= -E_q[D_{KL}(q(x_T|x_0)||p(x_T))] - \sum_{t=2}^T D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)) + \log p_{\theta}(x_0|x_1)$$

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) | p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\left[- \frac{p(x_{1}|x_{1}-x)p(x_{1}-x)}{q(x_{1}|x_{1}-x)p(x_{1}-x)} \right]$$

$$\log p(x_0) \ge E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0 | x_{1:T}) p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T} | x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$
$$= E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1} | x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t | x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$= -E_{q}[D_{KL}(q(x_{T}|x_{0})||p(x_{T}))] - \sum_{t=2}^{T} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})) + \log p_{\theta}(x_{0}|x_{1})$$

$$q(x_{t-1}|x_{t}) = q(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0}) \text{ (markov assumption)}$$

$$= \frac{q(x_{t}|x_{t-1},x_{0})q(x_{t-1}|x_{0})}{q(x_{t}|x_{0})} \text{ (Bayes rule)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathcal{N}(x_{t};\sqrt{a_{t}}x_{t-1},\beta_{t}I)\mathcal{N}(x_{t-1};\sqrt{\overline{a_{t-1}}}x_{t-1},(1-\overline{a_{t-1}})I)}{\mathcal{N}(x_{t};\sqrt{\overline{a_{t}}}x_{0},(1-\overline{a_{t-1}})I)}$$

$$\propto \mathcal{N}\left(x_{t-1};\frac{\sqrt{a_{t}}(1-\overline{a_{t-1}})x_{t}+\sqrt{\overline{a_{t-1}}}(1-a_{t})x_{0}}{1-\sqrt{\overline{a_{t}}}},\Sigma_{q}(t)\right) \text{ (Property of Gaussian)}$$

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x_{0}|x_{1:T})p(x_{1:T})}{q(z|x)} \right]$$

$$\log p(x_0) \ge E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0 | x_{1:T}) p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T} | x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$
$$= E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1} | x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t | x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$= -E_{q}[D_{KL}(q(x_{T}|x_{0})||p(x_{T}))] - \sum_{t=2}^{T} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})) + \log p_{\theta}(x_{0}|x_{1})$$

$$q(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0}) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1};\mu_{q}(t),\Sigma_{q}(t))$$

$$\mu_{q}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}} \left(x_{t} - \frac{\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{(1-\overline{\alpha}_{t})}}\epsilon\right), \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I)$$
Proof using bayes rule and gaussian reparameterization trick

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x_{0}|x_{1:T})p(x_{1:T})}{q(z|x)} \right]$$

$$\log p(x_0) \ge E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0 | x_{1:T}) p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T} | x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$
$$= E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1} | x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t | x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$= -E_{q}[D_{KL}(q(x_{T}|x_{0})||p(x_{T}))] - \sum_{t=2}^{T} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0})||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_{t})) + \log p_{\theta}(x_{0}|x_{1})$$

$$q(x_{t-1}|x_{t},x_{0}) = \mathcal{N}\left(x_{t-1};\mu_{q}(t),\Sigma_{q}(t)\right)$$

$$\mu_{q}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}}\left(x_{t} - \frac{\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{(1-\bar{\alpha_{t}})}}\epsilon\right), \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I)$$

$$\text{Proof using bayes rule and gaussian reparameterization trick}$$

$$\text{The "ground truth" noise that brought } x_{0} \text{ to } x_{t}$$

$$\log p(x) = \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] + D_{KL}(q(z|x)) ||p(z|x))$$

$$\geq \mathbb{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x|z)p(z)}{q(z|x)} \right] \qquad \text{Evidence Lower Bound (ELBO)}$$

$$\log p(x_0) \ge E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_0 | x_{1:T}) p(x_{1:T})}{q(x_{1:T} | x_0)} \right] \qquad x = x_0, \ z = x_{1:T}$$
$$= E_q \left[\log \frac{p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^T p_\theta(x_{t-1} | x_t)}{\prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t | x_{t-1})} \right]$$

... (derivation omitted, see Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015 Appendix B)

$$= -E_q[D_{KL}(q(x_T|x_0)||p(x_T))] - \sum_{t=2}^{T} D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)||p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)) + \log p_{\theta}(x_0|x_1)]$$

Minimize the difference of distribution means (assuming identical variance)

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} w || \mu_q(t) - \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$$

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \mu_q(t) - \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$

$$\mu_q(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)}} \epsilon \right), \qquad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \mu_q(t) - \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$

$$\mu_q(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)}} \epsilon \right), \qquad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$

Do we actually need to learn the entire $\mu_{\theta}(x_t, t)$?

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \mu_q(t) - \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$

$$\mu_{q}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}} \left(x_{t} - \frac{\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t})}} \epsilon \right), \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$
known during inference
Unknown during inference
Recall: this is the "ground truth" noise that brought x_{0} to x_{t}

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \mu_q(t) - \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$

$$\mu_{q}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t}}} \left(x_{t} - \frac{\beta_{t}}{\sqrt{(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t})}} \epsilon \right), \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$$
known during inference
Unknown during inference
Note: that brought x_{0} to x_{t}

Idea: just learn ϵ with $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t)$!

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Simplified learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Simplified learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) ||$

Recall: the simplified *t*-step forward sample: $x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon$

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Simplified learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} (\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) ||$

Recall: the simplified *t*-step forward sample: $x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \epsilon$

The **learned** denoising process
$$x_0 \leftarrow x_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_T$$

 $p_{\theta}(x_{0:T}) = p(x_T) \prod_{t=1}^{T} p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$
 $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t) = \mathcal{N}(x_{t-1}; \mu_{\theta}(x_t, t), \Sigma(t))$ Conditional Gaussian

Simplified learning objective: $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta} || \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta} (\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \epsilon, t) ||$

Inference time:
$$\mu_{\theta}(x_t, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(x_t - \frac{\beta_t}{\sqrt{(1 - \overline{\alpha}_t)}} \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) \right)$$

Predicted "denoising noise"

Algorithm 1 Training

- 1: repeat
- 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$
- 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \dots, T\})$
- 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Take gradient descent step on

$$abla_{ heta} \left\| oldsymbol{\epsilon} - oldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ heta} (\sqrt{ar{lpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - ar{lpha}_t} oldsymbol{\epsilon}, t)
ight\|^2$$

6: until converged



Recall: the simplified *t*-step forward sample: $x_t = \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \epsilon$

The Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, Ho et al., 2020

Algorithm 1 Training

- 1: repeat
- 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$
- 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \ldots, T\})$
- 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Take gradient descent step on

$$\nabla_{\theta} \left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \right\|^2$$

6: until converged



Predict noise with a denoiser network ϵ_{θ}

The Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, Ho et al., 2020

Algorithm 1 Training

- 1: repeat
- 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$
- 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \ldots, T\})$
- 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Take gradient descent step on

$$\nabla_{\theta} \left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \right\|^2$$

6: until converged



Compute regression loss

The Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models, Ho et al., 2020

Algorithm 1 Training

- 1: repeat
- 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$
- 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \ldots, T\})$
- 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Take gradient descent step on

$$\nabla_{\theta} \left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \right\|^2$$

6: until converged

Algorithm 2 Sampling

1:
$$\mathbf{x}_T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$$

2: for $t = T, \dots, 1$ do
3: $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$ if $t > 1$, else $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0}$
4: $\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\mathbf{x}_t - \frac{1-\alpha_t}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \right) + \sigma_t \mathbf{z}$
5: end for
6: return \mathbf{x}_0

Algorithm 1 Training

- 1: repeat
- 2: $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$
- 3: $t \sim \text{Uniform}(\{1, \ldots, T\})$
- 4: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
- 5: Take gradient descent step on $\nabla_{\theta} \left\| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta} (\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, t) \right\|^2$
- 6: until converged



Visualizing the Diffusion Process on 2D data



Conditional Diffusion Models



Conditional Diffusion Models



Simple idea: just condition the model on some text labels y! $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, y, t)$

Conditional Diffusion Models



Simple idea: just condition the model on some text labels y! $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, y, t)$

Problem: Very blurry generation

Classifier-guided Diffusion



Better idea: use the *gradients* from an image captioning model $f_{\varphi}(y|x_t)$ to guide the diffusion process!

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{\theta}(x_t, t) = \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \nabla_{x_t} \log f_{\varphi}(y|x_t)$$

Classifier-guided Diffusion



Better idea: use the *gradients* from an image captioning model $f_{\varphi}(y|x_t)$ to guide the diffusion process!

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{\theta}(x_t, t) = \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} \nabla_{x_t} \log f_{\varphi}(y|x_t)$$

Problem: need a classifier

Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021

Classifier-free Guided Diffusion



Classifier-free Guided Diffusion: estimate the gradient of the classifier model with conditional diffusion models!

$$\nabla_{x_t} \log f_{\varphi}(y|x_t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\alpha}_t}} (\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, y) - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t))$$

Ho and Salimans, 2022

Classifier-free Guided Diffusion



Classifier-free Guided Diffusion: estimate the gradient of the classifier model with conditional diffusion models!

$$\nabla_{x_t} \log f_{\varphi}(y|x_t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} (\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, y) - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t))$$

$$\bar{\epsilon}_{\theta}(x_t, t, y) = (w + 1)\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, y) - w\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t)$$

Linearly combine denoisers from an unconditional distribution and a conditional distribution

Ho and Salimans, 2022

Latent-space Diffusion

Problem: Hard to learn diffusion process on high-resolution images

Solution: learn a low-dimensional latent space using a ViT-based autoencoder and *do diffusion on the latent space*!



The latent space autoencoder





Layout-Conditional Generation



Segmentation-Conditional Generation



Inpainting

Beyond Image Generation



https://dreamfusion3d.github.io/

Beyond Image Generation



https://ai.facebook.com/blog/generative-ai-text-to-video/

Beyond Image Generation



DecisionDiffuser (Ajay, Gupta, Du et al., 2023) Model future state and reward distributions $p(r_{t:t+H}, s_{t:t+H}|s_t)$

https://ai.facebook.com/blog/generative-ai-text-to-video/
Beyond Image Generation





Diffusion Policy (Chi et al., 2023) Model multimodal action distributions (implement this in your HW4!) $p(a_{t:t+H}|s_t)$ https://diffusion-policy.cs.columbia.edu/

Beyond Image Generation



Generative Skill Chaining (Mishra et al., 2023)

https://diffusion-policy.cs.columbia.edu/

Additional resources / tutorials

- Overview of the research landscape: What are Diffusion Models?
- More math! <u>Understanding Diffusion Models: A Unified Perspective</u>
- Tutorial with hands-on example: The Annotated Diffusion Model
- Nice introduction video: What are Diffusion Models?
- CVPR Tutorial: <u>Denoising Diffusion-based Generative Modeling:</u> <u>Foundations and Applications</u>

Summary

- Denoising Diffusion model is a type of generative model that learns the process of "denoising" a known noise source (Gaussian).
- We can construct a learning problem by deriving the evidence lower bound (ELBO) of the denoising process.
- The learning objective is to minimize the KL divergence between the "ground truth" and the learned denoising distribution.
- A simplified learning objective is to estimate the noise of the forward diffusion process.
- The diffusion process can be guided to generate targeted samples.
- Can be applied to many different domains. Same underlying principle.
- Very hot topic!