Local Image Features

Read Szeliski 4.1

Computer Vision

James Hays

Acknowledgment: Many slides from Derek Hoiem and Grauman&Leibe 2008 AAAI Tutorial



“Flashed Face Distortion”
2nd Place in the 8th Annual
Best Illusion of the Year
Contest , VSS 2012

Keep your eyes
on the cross



This section: correspondence and alignment

- Correspondence: matching points, patches, edges, or regions across images



Overview of Keypoint Matching



1. **Find a set of distinctive key-points**
2. **Define a region around each keypoint**
3. **Extract and normalize the region content**
4. **Compute a local descriptor from the normalized region**
5. **Match local descriptors**

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

Review: Harris corner detector



- Approximate distinctiveness by local auto-correlation.



- Approximate local auto-correlation by second moment matrix
- Quantify distinctiveness (or cornerness) as function of the eigenvalues of the second moment matrix.
- But we don't actually need to compute the eigenvalues by using the determinant and trace of the second moment matrix.



Harris Detector [Harris88]

- Second moment matrix



$$\mu(\sigma_I, \sigma_D) = g(\sigma_I) * \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2(\sigma_D) & I_x I_y(\sigma_D) \\ I_x I_y(\sigma_D) & I_y^2(\sigma_D) \end{bmatrix}$$

1. Image derivatives (optionally, blur first)





2. Square of derivatives







$$\det M = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$

$$\operatorname{trace} M = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

3. Gaussian filter $g(\sigma_I)$

4. Cornerness function – both eigenvalues are strong

$$har = \det[\mu(\sigma_I, \sigma_D)] - \alpha[\operatorname{trace}(\mu(\sigma_I, \sigma_D))^2] =$$

$$g(I_x^2)g(I_y^2) - [g(I_x I_y)]^2 - \alpha[g(I_x^2) + g(I_y^2)]^2$$

5. Non-maxima suppression

Orientation Normalization

- Compute orientation histogram

[Lowe, SIFT, 1999]

- Select dominant orientation
- Normalize: rotate to fixed orientation





Local features: main components

1) **Detection:** Identify the interest points



2) **Description**: Extract vector feature descriptor surrounding each interest point.

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = [x_1^{(1)}, \ldots, x_d^{(1)}]$$



$$\mathbf{x}_2 = [x_1^{(2)}, \ldots, x_d^{(2)}]$$

3) **Matching:** Determine correspondence between descriptors in two views



Kristen Grauman

Image representations

- Templates
  - Intensity, gradients, etc.

- Histograms
  - Color, texture, SIFT descriptors, etc.

Image Representations: Histograms





Global histogram

- Represent distribution of features
  - Color, texture, depth, …

Images from Dave Kauchak

Image Representations: Histograms

Histogram: Probability or count of data in each bin









- Joint histogram
  - Requires lots of data
  - Loss of resolution to avoid empty bins

Marginal histogram

- Requires independent features
- More data/bin than joint histogram

Images from Dave Kauchak

What kind of things do we compute histograms of?

- Color



L*a*b* color space



HSV color space

- Texture (filter banks or HOG over regions)

What kind of things do we compute histograms of?

- Histograms of oriented gradients



SIFT – Lowe IJCV 2004

SIFT vector formation

- Computed on rotated and scaled version of window according to computed orientation & scale
  - resample the window
- Based on gradients weighted by a Gaussian of variance half the window (for smooth falloff)



SIFT vector formation

- 4x4 array of gradient orientation histogram weighted by magnitude
- 8 orientations x 4x4 array = 128 dimensions
- Motivation: some sensitivity to spatial layout, but not too much.



showing only 2x2 here but is 4x4

Ensure smoothness

- Gaussian weight
- Trilinear interpolation
  - a given gradient contributes to 8 bins: 4 in space times 2 in orientation



Reduce effect of illumination

- 128-dim vector normalized to 1
- Threshold gradient magnitudes to avoid excessive influence of high gradients
  - after normalization, clamp gradients >0.2
  - renormalize



Local Descriptors: SURF



Fast approximation of SIFT idea

- **Efficient computation by 2D box filters & integral images**
  $\Rightarrow$ 6 times faster than SIFT
- **Equivalent quality for object identification**

GPU implementation available

- **Feature extraction @ 200Hz (detector + descriptor, 640×480 img)**
- http://www.vision.ee.ethz.ch/~surf

[Bay, ECCV’06], [Cornelis, CVGPU’08]

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

Local Descriptors: Shape Context



Count the number of points inside each bin, e.g.:

Count = 4

⋮

Count = 10

Log-polar binning: more precision for nearby points, more flexibility for farther points.

Belongie & Malik, ICCV 2001

Shape Context Descriptor



Self-similarity Descriptor

Figure 1. *These images of the same object (a heart) do NOT share common image properties (colors, textures, edges), but DO share a similar geometric layout of local internal self-similarities.*

Matching Local Self-Similarities across Images and Videos, Shechtman and Irani, 2007

Self-similarity Descriptor



Matching Local Self-Similarities across Images and Videos, Shechtman and Irani, 2007

Self-similarity Descriptor



Matching Local Self-Similarities across Images and Videos, Shechtman and Irani, 2007

Learning Local Image Descriptors, Winder and Brown, 2007





S1: SIFT grid with bilinear weights

S2: GLOH polar grid with bilinear radial and angular weights

S3: 3x3 grid with Gaussian weights

S4: 17 polar samples with Gaussian weights

Local Descriptors

- Most features can be thought of as templates, histograms (counts), or combinations
- The ideal descriptor should be
  - Robust
  - Distinctive
  - Compact
  - Efficient
- Most available descriptors focus on edge/gradient information
  - Capture texture information
  - Color rarely used

K. Grauman, B. Leibe

Local features: main components

1) **Detection:** Identify the interest points



2) **Description**: Extract vector feature descriptor surrounding each interest point.

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = [x_1^{(1)}, \ldots, x_d^{(1)}]$$



$$\mathbf{x}_2 = [x_1^{(2)}, \ldots, x_d^{(2)}]$$

3) **Matching:** Determine correspondence between descriptors in two views



Kristen Grauman

Matching

- Simplest approach: Pick the nearest neighbor. Threshold on absolute distance
- Problem: Lots of self similarity in many photos



Distance: 0.34, 0.30, 0.40

Distance: 0.61
Distance: 1.22

Nearest Neighbor Distance Ratio

- $\frac{NN1}{NN2}$ where NN1 is the distance to the first nearest neighbor and NN2 is the distance to the second nearest neighbor.
- Sorting by this ratio puts matches in order of confidence.

Matching Local Features

- Nearest neighbor (Euclidean distance)
- Threshold ratio of nearest to 2nd nearest descriptor



Lowe IJCV 2004

SIFT Repeatability



Lowe IJCV 2004

SIFT Repeatability



SIFT Repeatability



Lowe IJCV 2004

Choosing a detector

- What do you want it for?
  - Precise localization in x-y: Harris
  - Good localization in scale: Difference of Gaussian
  - Flexible region shape: MSER
- Best choice often application dependent
  - Harris-/Hessian-Laplace/DoG work well for many natural categories
  - MSER works well for buildings and printed things
- Why choose?
  - Get more points with more detectors
- There have been extensive evaluations/comparisons
  - [Mikolajczyk et al., IJCV’05, PAMI’05]
  - All detectors/descriptors shown here work well

Comparison of Keypoint Detectors

Table 7.1 Overview of feature detectors.

Feature Detector	Corner	Blob	Region	Rotation invariant	Scale invariant	Affine invariant	Repeatability	Localization accuracy	Robustness	Efficiency
Harris	√			√			+++	+++	+++	++
Hessian		√		√			++	++	++	+
SUSAN	√			√			++	++	++	+++
Harris-Laplace	√	(√)		√	√		+++	+++	++	+
Hessian-Laplace	(√)	√		√	√		+++	+++	+++	+
DoG	(√)	√		√	√		++	++	++	++
SURF	(√)	√		√	√		++	++	++	+++
Harris-Affine	√	(√)		√	√	√	+++	+++	++	++
Hessian-Affine	(√)	√		√	√	√	+++	+++	+++	++
Salient Regions	(√)	√		√	√	(√)	+	+	++	+
Edge-based	√			√	√	√	+++	+++	+	+
MSER			√	√	√	√	+++	+++	++	+++
Intensity-based			√	√	√	√	++	++	++	++
Superpixels			√	√	(√)	(√)	+	+	+	+

Tuytelaars Mikolajczyk 2008

Choosing a descriptor

- Again, need not stick to one

- For object instance recognition or stitching, SIFT or variant is a good choice

Things to remember

- Keypoint detection: repeatable and distinctive
  - Corners, blobs, stable regions
  - Harris, DoG



- Descriptors: robust and selective
  - spatial histograms of orientation
  - SIFT