

# Window Systems

---



## Goals: Virtual Devices

---



- Virtual display abstraction
- Multiplex physical input devices
- Simulated or higher level "devices"
- Limited resource management

## Goals: Interface Uniformity (UI and API)

---



### ■ UI

- | Provides consistent "look and feel"

### ■ API

- | Provides virtual device abstraction
- | Performs low level ops

## 2 Views of the Window System

---



### ■ User Interface

### ■ Application Interface

- | Imaging model
- | Input model

## Imaging Model

---



- Typically close to the hardware
  - | Raster
  - | Positive integer coords
- Primitives put values in frame buffer
  - | Explicit bit pattern
- Low level view creates some problems

## Problem #1: Dynamics e.g. Rubber-banding a line

---



- Line drawn with standard techniques
- How to "undraw" when moved?

## Solutions

---



1) Redraw

2) "Save-unders"

## Solutions

---



3) Bit manipulations

- | Colors are bits in FB
- | Don't draw with line color, XOR with it
  - |  $L \wedge P =$
  - | XOR again to "undraw"
    - |  $L \wedge P \wedge P =$
- | Problems?

## Solutions

---



4) Simulate bit planes

## Problem #2: Color map (CLUT)

---



- Small # hardware color maps
  - | share between windows/apps
  - | each would like full power provided
  
- No real good solutions.
  - | use 24 bits of color!
  - | Other suggestions?

## Solutions

---



- 1) dynamically switch color maps
- 2) no (direct) color map access
- 3) sharing schemes

## Higher Level Imaging Models e.g. Postscript-based

---



- e.g. NeWS & NeXT [AKA OpenStep]
- Real valued coordinate system
- Support for full transformations
  - e.g., scale & rotate
- Richer primitives
  - e.g., Curves
- Stencil and paint model

## Higher Level Imaging Models

---



### ■ Advantages

- ▀ Resolution/device independent
- ▀ Can support full transformations

### ■ Disadvantages

- ▀ Slower
- ▀ Harder to implement
- ▀ For opaque model, some effects hard (XOR)

## Other issues in imaging model

---



### ■ Exposing/hiding facts of overlap

### ■ Hierarchy

- ▀ Windows within windows

## Input models

---



- Handling input devices tedious
  - | Want an abstraction for input
    - | As disks, etc. are to file systems
- The "uniform event" input model
  - | An event is a record of an input action
  - | Events placed in a queue
    - | processed asynchronously
  - | "producer/consumer" between system/user

## An event record

---



- What caused the event
  - | e.g., left mouse button went down
- Where was the mouse
- When did the event occur
- Value associated with device action
  - | e.g. ascii value of key, position of knob
- Additional Context
  - | e.g. modifiers

## Example

---



### ■ The Java 1.2 MouseEvent

<http://java.sun.com/products/jdk/1.2/docs/api/java.awt.event.MouseEvent.html>

## Higher level events

---



■ Not a simple input device action

■ e.g.

## Using events: Return of basic paradigm

---



```
Main_Event_Loop()
    Set_input_mask();
    repeat
        Wait_for_event(E)
        case E of
            ...
            dispatch event E
            ...
        end;
        redraw_screen();
    until done;
```

## Synchronization Issues

---



- Events are asynchronous
  - => User asynch with program
- How to deal with this?
- Implication?

## Example: drawing overshoot

---



## Synchronization Issues

---



- Separate queues don't help
- Each thread needs one unified queue
  - Can be one per thread

Many variations

---

